

Mitigation Strategy #10 – Exhibits (PND)

- What
- When
- Who
- How Much



PRESERVATION
North Dakota



Mitigation Strategy #10 – Exhibits (PND)

What?


- Request funding for the redevelopment of ND Studies Trunks to be made available for students statewide
 - Previously developed “Preservation” themed Trunk has been retired. Out-dated material would be revised, and content geared toward STEAM curriculum and highlighting civic engagement through historic preservation and potential careers in historic preservation



Mitigation Strategy #10 – Exhibits (PND)

What?

- Request funding for the redevelopment of ND Studies Trunks to be made available for students statewide
 - Previously developed “Historic Bridges” themed Trunk to be revised. Considerations include: weight limitations of current Trunk, dynamic and interactive content and materials, potential to enhance STEM curricula and highlight potential careers in the STEM fields.



Mitigation Strategy #10 – Exhibits (PND)

What?

- Request 900-1,000 sq foot travelling exhibit in coordination with the State Historical Society of North Dakota
 - Exhibit for use in existing temporary galleries programming, to enter into state-wide circulation within 2 years of proposed bridge demolition
 - Exhibit to interpret the history and significance of the bridge. Possible topics to include environment, culture, and engineering and make use of mixed media including 3-dimensional collections items, photographs, and text. Suggested items could come from existing collections pertaining to Bismarck-Mandan history, railroad history, Indian removal, homesteading, and ethnic settlement



Mitigation Strategy #10 – Exhibits (PND)

Everything Else

- Establishment of a timeframe for completion, if known (when)
 - Suggest installation of exhibit to open within 90 days of proposed bridge demolition and enter state-wide circulation TBD
 - ND Studies trunks to be ready for use within 6 months – 1 year after proposed bridge demolition
- Designation of who will be operationally responsible for ensuring completion (who)
 - US Coast Guard as lead agency
- Description of the scope of the strategy (what)
 - Request funding for the redevelopment of 2 ND Studies Trunks to be made available for students statewide
 - Request 900-1,000 sq foot travelling exhibit in coordination with the State Historical Society of North Dakota
- Designation of who will be financially responsible and the estimated cost (how much)
 - Request coordination with SHSND on revising 2 ND Studies Trunks specific to Historic Preservation and Historic Bridges. Estimated cost for meaningful improvements \$5,000/each (\$10,000).
 - Request a solicitation of cost estimates from private contractors “Turn-Key” exhibit development including at-minimum 2 hands-on interactive displays. Industry estimates minimum of \$250/sq ft (\$250,000). To be coordinated with SHSND.
 - All cost born by applicant and/or lead federal agency

Mitigation Strategy #2 – Interpretive Signage (FORB & PND)

Guidance on Assistance to Consulting Parties in the Section 106 Review Process (November 28, 2018)

What activities should a federal agency pay for when carried out by a consulting party, including an Indian tribe or NHO?

If a consulting party is asked by a federal agency to do more than respond to a federal agency's findings and determinations, then it should be compensated for its efforts. The federal agency should enter into an appropriate arrangement to provide for such payment. For example, when the federal agency or applicant requests that a consulting party, such as an Indian tribe, conduct a survey of the APE or monitor ground-disturbing activity, the agency essentially is asking that consulting party to fulfill the role of a CRM consultant or contractor. In such cases, the consulting party is entitled to reasonable payment for services performed in accordance with the relevant contract or agreement that dictates the scope of work, just as any other consultant or contractor would.

Who should pay for mitigation to resolve adverse effects to historic properties?

The federal agency is responsible for developing and considering actions to resolve adverse effects to historic properties where it has determined such effects may occur as part of a Section 106 review. In most cases, this results in measures agreed to by the agency to minimize or mitigate harm. The federal agency is therefore responsible for ensuring any such measures are funded and carried out, either directly by the agency or others, such as an applicant for a federal license, approval, or permit, as specified in a Section 106 agreement document or other binding final agency decision document.”